



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/662,697	09/15/2003	William J. Boyle	ACS 65470 (2309D)	9777	
24201	7590 09/24/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
FULWIDER PATTON LEE & UTECHT, LLP			WEBB, SARAH K		
HOWARD HU 6060 CENTE	JGHES CENTER		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TENTH FLOO			3731		
LOS ANGEL	ES, CA 90045		DATE MAILED: 09/24/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	٦.
	10/662,697	BOYLE ET AL.	$\bigcirc_{\prime\prime}$
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sarah K Webb	3731	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addre	ss
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH lute. cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this comm IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.
Status		-	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15	September 2003.		
<b>-</b> 6/L	his action is non-final.	,	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under			ents is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 35-62 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 35-62 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	Irawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	accepted or b)∐ objected to by	y the Examiner.	-
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyanc	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	1 121(4)
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cord	e Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO	-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum  2. Certified copies of the priority docum  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum  application from the International But  * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received.  ents have been received in Ap  priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National St	tage
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	·	ımmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date	3/08) 5) Notice of Inf	formal Patent Application (PTO-1	152)

Application/Control Number: 10/662,697

Art Unit: 3731

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 1. Claims 35-40,42-50,52-54,58-62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US Patent No. 6,171,327 to Daniel et al.

Daniels illustrates a catheter system in Figures 20-23 that is designed for recovery of an embolic filter (21) that is disposed on a guide wire (26). The retrieval device includes an inner catheter (172 in Figure 20 or 372 in Figure 23) that extends distally beyond a recovery sheath (151). The inner catheter can be constructed to have either greater or less column strength than the recovery sheath, as evidenced by the various configurations of Figures 20 and 23. Each catheter has a control handle attached to its proximal end, and the handles are illustrated in Figures 24-26. Control handle 702 is connected to the proximal end of the recovery sheath (151) and control handle 710 is connected to the proximal end of the inner catheter (372).

Inner catheter (372) can be locked onto the guide wire (26) by way of a threaded connection between the handle (710) and a locking mechanism that includes a guide wire clamp (720) and a collet (718). The recovery sheath control handle (702) is locked with the inner catheter control handle (710) by a stop (708) that prevents the handles

Application/Control Number: 10/662,697

Art Unit: 3731

(702,710) from becoming separated but allows the handles to slide relative to one another.

Regarding claims 36,46,56, and 57, the language "may be up to", "may be up to approximately", and "may extend up to" is significantly broad to include any length less than the stated dimension. Therefore, the Daniel device meets this limitation, since the recovery sheath is clearly shorter than the inner catheter.

Daniel discloses steps of using the device in column 10 that include advancing the inner catheter and recovery sheath over a guide wire, locking the inner catheter to the guide wire, advancing the recovery sheath over the filter to collapse it, and removing the entire system from the patient's body.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 41 and 51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Daniel in view of US Patent No. 5,201,757 to Heyn et al.

Daniel includes all the limitations of claims 41 and 51, except that the position of the handles is switched so that control handle of the recovery sheath is coaxially disposed within the lumen of the control handle of the inner catheter. Heyn discloses a device with control handles for sheaths that move relative to one another. Heyn teaches that the control handle (60) for the inner catheter (44) can be disposed within

Art Unit: 3731

the control handle (56) of the outer sheath (20). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to simply rearrange the control handles of Daniel so that the control handle of the inner catheter is disposed within the lumen of the recovery sheath handle, as Heyn teaches that this is an alternate way to configure control handles of relatively moving sheaths.

#### Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US Patent 6,569,184 to Huter is significantly similar to the claimed invention. US Patent 6,371,971 to Tsugita discloses various embodiments of a filter retrieval device.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sarah K Webb whose telephone number is (703) 605-1176. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhthuan T. Nguyen can be reached on (703) 308-2154. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/662,697

Art Unit: 3731

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SKW 09/21/2004

DAVID O. REIP